

To: CN=John Kemmerer/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: []
From: CN=Tim Vendlinski/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Thur 1/24/2013 7:13:50 PM
Subject: Re: BDCP on Stockton

Hi John:

For starters, I was planning to send the incoming correspondence from the Corps to the Federal Agency Team for the BDCP.

This will give the Corps a chance to explain the project to the Team without much elaboration from EPA. If members of the Team inquire about EPA's position, then I can send them the message from Alexis separately.

The Federal Agency Team includes representatives from the Corps' South Pacific Division and Sacramento District, DOI, FWS, USBR, NOAA-NMFS, USDA-NRCS, and USGS.

Thanks, Tim

From: John Kemmerer/R9/USEPA/US
To: Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 01/23/2013 03:01 PM
Subject: BDCP on Stockton

Hi Tim - As I understand it, you're considering sending info on the Stockton Channel project to federal agencies in the BDCP.

What specifically were you planning on sending? I see that Alexis's email to HQ has already been sent (by Jason and Brian) to the Corps. I suppose that if it's already gone to the Corps, it wouldn't be inappropriate to also send it to federal agencies that received the Corps 1/10 invitation to the 1/23-25 meetings. Those would include NOAA, FWS, and USBR. Are these agencies in the BDCP? Are there other federal agencies in the BDCP?

I understand from Jason (who's been in touch with Brian) that the representation at this morning's meeting with the Corps was pretty one-sided (refineries, Port, Corps, few from resource agencies).

John Kemmerer
Acting Director, Water Division
U.S. EPA Region 9
600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1460
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213-244-1832 (phone)
213-244-1850 (fax)
kemmerer.john@epa.gov